United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_ me

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,684	11/08/2001	Aristo Vojdani	IMSCI2.005A	9590
20995 7590 01/12/2007 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET			EXAMINER	
			YANG, NELSON C	
FOURTEENTH IRVINE, CA 92			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1641	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	01/12/2007	FI FCTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 01/12/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/005,684	VOJDANI, ARISTO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nelson Yang	1641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	October 2006.					
	his action is non-final.					
·— ··	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-11 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers	· .					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority docume 2 Certified copies of the priority docume 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. Applicant's amendment of claims 1 10, 11 is acknowledged and has been entered.
- 2. Applicant's cancellation of claim 12 is acknowledged and has been entered.
- 3. Claims 1-11 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. In particular, from the data provided by applicant, it does not appear that one of ordinary skill in the art would be capable of accurately determining the possibility of autoimmune disease or cardiovascular disease.

Based on applicant's data in figs. 3-6, and on the standard deviation bars shown, the differences in IgA antibody levels in saliva between controls, patients with cardiovascular disease and patients with autoimmune disease is not statistically significant. Looking at the data, one could not make the assumption that higher levels of IgA antibodies would be associated with either autoimmune or cardiovascular disease. Furthermore, applicant does not appear to have established any other means of verifying the validity of the data. Therefore, based on the data as presented, one of ordinary skill in

Application/Control Number: 10/005,684

Art Unit: 1641

the invention at the time of the invention could not reasonable determine that the levels of IgA antibody in saliva, much less any other antibody in other fluids such as serum, would actually be significant indicators of the possibility of autoimmune disease or cardiovascular disease and autoimmune disease.

According to Strongin (Strongin, Sensitivity, specificity, and predictive value of diagnostic tests: definitions and clinical applications, 1993, Laboratory Diagnosis of Viral Infections, p. 211-219), a number of characteristics need to be considered in the development of any suitable diagnostic assay. These characteristics include the sensitivity of the assay, the true-positive test rate, the false-negative test rate, the specificity, the true-negative test rate, the false positive test rate, the predictive value, the prevalence, the efficiency or percentage of all results that are true, and the accuracy of the recited diagnostic assay. However, none of these characteristics appear to have been considered.

Additional considerations must also be examined to enable the clinician to practice the invention, including assessment of when the maximum sensitivity, maximum specificity, and maximum efficiency are desired, how is the maximum sensitivity or specificity achieved, and how is the predictive value maximized. An essential understanding of these factors is required to enable the skilled artisan to accurately use and interpret any given diagnostic test. Specifically, the specification fails to disclose what is meant by the possibility of autoimmune disease or by the possibility of cardiovascular disease with autoimmune disease. In particular it is unclear how much more likely a patient with the possibility of autoimmune disease or cardiovascular disease with autoimmune disease would become afflicted with those diseases compared to a

Art Unit: 1641

patient without the possibility possibility of autoimmune disease or cardiovascular disease with autoimmune disease.

- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for synthetic peptides that comprise SEQ ID Nos: 5-7, does not reasonably provide enablement for other synthetic peptides. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. In particular, with other synthetic peptides that do not comprise SEQ ID Nos: 5-7, it would not be clear what the binding affinities of the antibodies, specifically IgA would be, and therefore, it would not be possible to accurately determine the levels of of IgA toward the synthetic peptide. Given the already high standard deviation of applicant's results (see figs. 3-6), one would not be able to accurately determine whether a patient had a higher level of an antibody such as IgA relative to normal healthy control patients.
- 7. Claims 1, 3-4, 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for immune complexes involving DNA-anti-DNA complexes (p. 7, para. 0027), does not reasonably provide enablement for all immune complex diseases. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. In claim 1, applicant has recited the determination of possibility of immune complex diseases. Applicants, however, do not defined what the antibodies are, which would render immune complexes to be non-specific markers that would not necessarily be associated with an immune complex

Art Unit: 1641

disease. For example, the detection of antibodies bound to bacteria would not normally be indicative of an autoimmune disease. Furthermore, the only immune-complex disease applicant has only provided support in the specification for is SLE, through DNA-anti-DNA complexes (p.7, para. 27). Applicant has not provided any support that detection of any other immune complexes would be indicative of autoimmune or cardiovascular disease.

8. Claims 1, 3-4, 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the detection of IgA antibody levels in saliva, does not reasonably provide enablement for the detection of IgG and IgM antibody levels. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. In particular, applicant has only provided experimental data showing that the levels of IgA would even be indicative of determining the possibility of cardiovascular or autoimmune disease. Even then, the correlation between the levels of IgA and the possibility of cardiovascular or autoimmune disease seems tenuous, due to the standard deviation. It is unclear if the levels of IgG or IgM would correlate to the possibility of cardiovascular or autoimmune disease, and applicant has not provided any evidence that would suggest that they do. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention could not reasonably assume that determining the levels of IgG or IgM or any other antibody other than IgA would correlate with the possibility of cardiovascular or autoimmune disease.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,684 Page 6

Art Unit: 1641

9. Claims 1, 3-4, 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the detection of IgA antibody levels in saliva, does not reasonably provide enablement for the detection of antibody levels in serum. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. In particular, applicant has only provided experimental data showing that the levels of IgA in saliva would even be indicative of determining the possibility of cardiovascular or autoimmune disease. Applicant has not provided any data showing that the levels of IgA or any other antibody would be similar in serum. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention therefore could not reasonably assume that the levels of IgA, IgG, and IgM in serum would necessarily correlate with the possibility of cardiovascular or autoimmune disease.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. It is noted that while applicant's amendment overcame some of the enablement issues, the amendment did not fully overcome all the enablement issues. However, since all the enablement issues were not clearly addressed in the rejection in the previous office action, the office action has not been made final.

Conclusion

11. No claims are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,684

Art Unit: 1641

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Nelson Yang whose telephone number is (571) 272-0826.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Long V. Le can be reached on (571)272-0823. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nelson Yang Patent Examiner Art Unit 1641

Page 7

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600